# 41076: Methods in Quantum Computing 

Quantum channels, measurements and tomography

Dr. Mária Kieferová based on the materials from Dr. Min-Hsiu Hsieh<br>Centre for Quantum Software $\mathcal{B}$ Information, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, University of Technology Sydney


#### Abstract


Contents to be covered in this lecture are

1. The no-cloning theorem
2. Distance measures
3. Quantum channels
4. Noise channels
5. Measurement

In the last lecture we covered quantum states and unitary operations. Today we will continue with a description of a more general form of operations.

## 1 The no-cloning theorem

A non-intuitive property of quantum mechanics that would be able to copy a general (unknown) quantum state. This is in stark contrast with classical information that can be always copied.

Suppose that we have two quantum systems of equal size $\mathcal{H}_{A}=\mathcal{H}_{B}$. Given a quantum state $|\phi\rangle_{A} \in \mathcal{H}_{A}$, if quantum mechanics allows the operation of 'copying', then this copying operation $U_{\text {copy }}$ should achieve

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\text {copy }}\left(|\phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|0\rangle_{B}\right)=|\phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|\phi\rangle_{B} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the copying operation should produce a second copy of $|\phi\rangle$ in $\mathcal{H}_{B}$ (that was initially prepared in some ground state $|0\rangle_{B}$.)
Theorem 1 (No-Cloning theorem). There is no unitary operation $U_{\text {copy }}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B}$ such that for all $|\psi\rangle_{A} \in \mathcal{H}_{A}$ and $|0\rangle_{B} \in \mathcal{H}_{B}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\text {copy }}\left(|\phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|0\rangle_{B}\right)=e^{i f(\phi)}|\phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|\phi\rangle_{B} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some number $f(\phi)$ that depends on the initial state $|\phi\rangle$.
Exercise 2. Prove the no-cloning theorem by contradiction.
a Assuming $U_{\text {copy }}$ exists, take two states $\left|\phi_{A}\right\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$. Now apply $U_{\text {copy }}$ on both of them and compute the resulting inner product
$\left(\left\langle\left.\phi\right|_{A} \otimes\left\langle\left. 0\right|_{B}\right) U_{\text {copy }}^{\dagger} U_{\text {copy }}\left(|\psi\rangle_{A} \otimes|0\rangle_{B}\right)\right.\right.$.
b Explain how (a) leads to a contradiction.

### 1.1 Proof of the non-cloning theorem

Assume such a coping operation exists. Then for any two states $|\psi\rangle_{A},|\phi\rangle_{A} \in \mathcal{H}_{A}$, the following holds

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\text {copy }}\left(|\phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|0\rangle_{B}\right) & =e^{i f(\phi)}|\phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|\phi\rangle_{B}  \tag{3}\\
U_{\text {copy }}\left(|\psi\rangle_{A} \otimes|0\rangle_{B}\right) & =e^{i f(\psi)}|\psi\rangle_{A} \otimes|\psi\rangle_{B} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left\langle\left.\phi\right|_{A} \otimes\left\langle\left. 0\right|_{B}\right) U_{\mathrm{copy}}^{\dagger} U_{\mathrm{copy}}\left(|\psi\rangle_{A} \otimes|0\rangle_{B}\right)\right.\right. & =\langle\phi \mid \psi\rangle_{A}  \tag{5}\\
& =e^{i(f(\psi)-f(\phi))}\langle\phi \mid \psi\rangle_{A}\langle\phi \mid \psi\rangle_{B} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The first equality follows because $U_{\text {copy }}^{\dagger} U_{\text {copy }}=I$ and $\langle 0 \mid 0\rangle_{B}=1$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\langle\phi \mid \psi\rangle_{A}\right|^{2}=\left|\langle\phi \mid \psi\rangle_{A}\right|, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that either $\left|\langle\phi \mid \psi\rangle_{A}\right|=1$ or $\left|\langle\phi \mid \psi\rangle_{A}\right|=0$. This allows us to conclude that not a single universal copying operation $U_{\text {copy }}$ exists for two arbitrary states.

## 2 Distance Measures

### 2.1 Matrix Norm

We will introduce a few useful matrix norms in this section. First of all, every norm $\|\cdot\|$ must satisfy the following conditions.

- $\|A\| \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $A=0$.
- $\|\alpha A\|=|\alpha|\|A\|$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.
- Triangle inequality: $\|A+B\| \leq\|A\|+\|B\|$.

Definition 3 (Schatten norm). For $p \in[1, \infty)$, the Shatten $p$-norm of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|_{p}:=\operatorname{Tr}\left(|A|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|A|:=\sqrt{A^{\dagger} A}$. We extend $p \rightarrow \infty$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|_{\infty}:=\max \left\{\|A \boldsymbol{x}\|: \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n},\|\boldsymbol{x}\|=1\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Properties of Schatten $p$-norms are summarized below

1. The Schatten norms are unitarily invariant: for any unitary operators $U$ and $V$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|U A V\|_{p}=\|A\|_{p} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $p \in[1, \infty]$.
2. The Schatten norms satisfy Hölder's inequality: for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times \ell}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A B\|_{1} \leq\|A\|_{p}\|B\|_{q}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, q \geq 1$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
3. Sub-multiplicativity: for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times \ell}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A B\|_{p} \leq\|A\|_{p}\|B\|_{p} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Monotonicity: for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|_{1} \geq\|A\|_{p} \geq\|A\|_{q} \geq\|A\|_{\infty} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 4. Denote by $\sigma_{i}(A)$ the $i$-th (non-zero) singular value of $A$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|_{p}=\left(\sum_{i}\left(\sigma_{i}(A)\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are important special cases of Schatten p-norm. Specifically, the Schatten 1-norm is commonly known as the trace norm, and will lead to the definition of trace distance in Sec. 2.2 . The Schatten 2-norm is also known as the Frobenius norm whose explicit form is given below.

Definition 5 (Frobenuis norm). The Frobenius norm (or the Hilbert-Schmidt norm) of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|_{2} \equiv\|A\|_{F}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|A_{i, j}\right|^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the Schatten $\infty$-norm is also called the operator norm or the spectral norm whose definition is given in Eq. (9).

### 2.2 Trace Distance and Fidelity

We will introduce two commonly used distance measures in quantum information science; namely the trace distance and fidelity.
Definition 6 (Trace Distance). The trace distance between two operators $A$ and $B$ is given by

$$
\|A-B\|_{1}:=\operatorname{Tr}|A-B| .
$$

Exercise 7.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma-\rho\|_{1}=\max _{-I \leq \Lambda \leq I} \operatorname{Tr}[\Lambda(\sigma-\rho)] . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $T(\rho, \sigma) \equiv\|\rho-\sigma\|_{1}$. The trace distance of two density operators is an extension of total variation distance of probability measures:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(P, Q)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x}|p(x)-q(x)| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ and $Q$ are probability distributions with pdf $p(x)$ and $q(x)$, respectively.
Properties of the trace distance include

- $T(\rho, \sigma)=0$ if and only if $\rho=\sigma$.
- Invariant under unitary operation: $T\left(U \rho U^{\dagger}, U \sigma U^{\dagger}\right)=T(\rho, \sigma)$
- Contraction: $T(\mathcal{N}(\rho), \mathcal{N}(\sigma)) \leq T(\rho, \sigma)$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is any trace-preserving and completely positive map.
- Convexity: $T\left(\sum_{i} p_{i} \rho_{i}, \sigma\right) \leq \sum_{i} p_{i} T\left(\rho_{i}, \sigma\right)$.

Definition 8 (Fidelity). For $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$, their fidelity is

$$
F(\rho, \sigma):=(\operatorname{Tr} \sqrt{\sqrt{\rho} \sigma \sqrt{\rho}})^{2} .
$$

Note that fidelity is not a metric on $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$.
Exercise 9. Shot that, for $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\rho, \sigma)=\min _{\Lambda_{i}}\left(\sum_{i} \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho \Lambda_{i}\right] \operatorname{Tr}\left[\sigma \Lambda_{i}\right]}\right)^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}$ is a POVM [2].
Properties of the fidelity include

- Symmetry: $F(\rho, \sigma)=T(\sigma, \rho)$.
- $0 \leq F(\rho, \sigma) \leq 1$.
- $F\left(U \rho U^{\dagger}, U \sigma U^{\dagger}\right)=F(\rho, \sigma)$.
- $F\left(\left|\psi_{\rho}\right\rangle,\left|\psi_{\sigma}\right\rangle\right)=\left|\left\langle\psi_{\rho} \mid \psi_{\sigma}\right\rangle\right|^{2}$.
- $F(\mathcal{N}(\rho), \mathcal{N}(\sigma)) \geq F(\rho, \sigma)$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is any trace-preserving and completely positive map.


## 3 Quantum Channels

The most general operation on quantum states is a quantum channel, also known as completely positive trace-preserving map (CPTP map). The ensures that quantum states will always get mapped onto valid quantum states. This condition is even more complicated than it sounds; if we apply a quantum channel on only a part of a quantum state, we still must get a valid density matrix after the transformation. Any such channel can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\sigma)=\sum_{i} B_{i} \sigma B_{i}^{\dagger} \quad \text { where } \quad \sum_{i} B_{i}^{\dagger} B_{i}=\mathbf{1} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is known as the Kraus representation and the operators $B_{i}$ as Kraus operators.
Exercise 10. Show that transpose is a positive map, but not a completely positive map.
Definition 11. A quantum channel $\mathcal{N}$ is unital if $\mathcal{N}(I)=I$.

## Examples

- Dephasing Channel:

$$
\mathcal{N}(\rho)=(1-p) \rho+p Z \rho Z .
$$

The Kraus operators are $B_{1}=\sqrt{1-p} I$ and $B_{2}=\sqrt{p} Z$.

- Depolarizing Channel:

$$
\mathcal{N}(\rho)=(1-p) \rho+p \pi
$$

where $\pi$ is the completely mixed state.

- Pauli Channel:

$$
\mathcal{N}(\sigma)=\sum_{i, j=0}^{1} p(i, j) Z^{i} X^{j} \sigma X^{j} Z^{i}
$$

where we denote $X^{0}=Z^{0}=I$.

- Measure-and-prepare channel: For a $\operatorname{POVM}\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}$ and a collection of quantum states $\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}$, we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}(\rho)=\sum_{i} \sigma_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Lambda_{i} \rho\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This channel is also known as an entanglement-breaking channel.
Exercise 12. The set of generalized Pauli matrices $\left\{U_{m}\right\}_{m \in\left[d^{2}\right]}$ is defined by $U_{l \cdot d+k}=\hat{Z}_{d}(l) \hat{X}_{d}(k)$ for $k, l=0,1, \cdots, d-1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{X}_{d}(k) & =\sum_{s}|s\rangle\langle s+k|=\hat{X}_{d}(1)^{k} \\
\hat{Z}_{d}(l) & =\sum_{s} e^{i 2 \pi s l / d}|s\rangle\langle s|=\hat{Z}_{d}(1)^{l} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The + sign denotes addition modulo $d$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{d^{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{d^{2}} U_{m} \rho U_{m}^{\dagger}=\pi \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi=\frac{I}{d}$.

## 4 Quantum Measurement

Quantum measurement is a process to observe the classical information within a quantum state. It can destroy the superposition property of a quantum state. The quantum measurement postulate evolves from Born's rule in his seminal paper in 1926, which states that "the probability density of finding a particle at a given point is proportional to the square of the magnitude of the particle's wave function at that point". Given the qubit state $|b\rangle$ in Eq. (??), Born's rule says that we can observe this qubit in state $|0\rangle$ with probability $|\alpha|^{2}$ and in state $|1\rangle$ with probability $|\beta|^{2}$.

Furthermore, after the measurement, the qubit state $|b\rangle$ will disappear and collapse to the observed state $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$.

In general, a quantum measurement is mathematically described by a collection of $\Upsilon:=\left\{M_{i}\right\}$, where each measurement operator $M_{i} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} M_{i}=I \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each $M_{i}$ is positive semi-definite operator - this means that $M_{i}$ is Hermitian and all the eigenvalues are larger or equal to zero. We call this measurements positive operator-valued measure (POVM). The probability of obtaining an outcome $i$ on a quantum state $\rho$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}:=\operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{i} \rho\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The state after measurement will be altered as

$$
\rho_{i}:=\frac{M_{i} \rho}{p_{i}} .
$$

The normalised condition in Eq. (23) guarantees that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i} p_{i} & =\sum_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{i} \rho\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i} M_{i} \rho\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr} \rho=1 \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

## Projective Measurement and Observables

A special instance of quantum measurements is the projective measurement. A projective measurement $\Upsilon$ is a collection of projectors $\left\{P_{0}, P_{1}, \cdots, P_{L-1}\right\}$ which sum to identity. Note that $P_{i} P_{j}=0$ for $i \neq j$ and $P_{i}^{2}=P_{i}$. When we measure a quantum state $|\phi\rangle$ with $\Upsilon$, we will get the outcome $j$ with probability

$$
p_{j}:=\operatorname{Tr}\left(P_{j}|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\right)
$$

and the resulting state

$$
\frac{P_{j}|\phi\rangle}{\sqrt{p_{j}}}
$$

A projective measurement $\Upsilon=\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ with the corresponding measurement outcomes $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\} \in \mathcal{R}$ can be efficiently represented by a Hermitian matrix $H=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} P_{i}$. Such a matrix is called an observable. In physics, an observable is a physical quantity that can be measured. Examples of observables of a physical system include the position or momentum of a particle, among many others.

Measuring the observable $H$ means that performing the projective measurement $\Upsilon=\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ on a quantum state $|\phi\rangle$. It follows that the expected value of the outcomes if we measure the state $|\phi\rangle$ with $\Upsilon=\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle H\rangle:=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \operatorname{Tr} P_{i}|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|=\langle\phi| H|\phi\rangle . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 13. Show that every POVM can be constructed by a projective measurement on a larger Hilbert space.

Quantum measurement can be used to distinguish a set of quantum states. We will elaborate on state distinguishability in future lectures.

## Further Reading

A very good lecture note by Ronald de Wolf can be downloaded here [1].
For a better understanding of quantum channels, I would recommend [3].

## References

[1] Ronald de Wolf, Quantum computing: Lecture notes, 2019.
[2] Christopher A. Fuchs and Carlton M. Caves, Ensemble-dependent bounds for accessible information in quantum mechanics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994Dec), 3047-3050.
[3] Vinayak Jagadish and Francesco Petruccione, An invitation to quantum channels, arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.00909 (2019).

